



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,452	07/11/2003	Shelley D. Minteer	SLU 4554.1	4859
321	7590	04/03/2007	EXAMINER	
SENNIGER POWERS ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, MO 63102			MARTIN, ANGELA J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/03/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/03/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,452	MINTEER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Angela J. Martin	1745

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 January 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5,7,9,11,27-41,45 and 48-50 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 6,8, 10, 12-26, 42-44, 46, 47 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/22/06</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the Remarks filed on January 10, 2007. However, a new rejection is presented for the following reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 6, 12-18, 23, 25, 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gregg et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,264,105.

Gregg et al., teach a bioanode comprising (a) an electron conductor; (b) at least one enzyme capable of reacting with an oxidized form of an electron mediator and a fuel fluid to produce an oxidized form of the fuel fluid and a reduced form of the electron mediator, the reduced form of the electron mediator being capable of releasing electrons to the electron conductor; and (c) an enzyme immobilization material comprising the electron mediator, the enzyme immobilization material being capable of immobilizing and stabilizing the enzyme, the material being permeable to the fuel fluid (claim 1; col. 6, lines 39-67). It teaches the enzyme immobilization material comprises modified perfluoro sulfonic acid-PTFE copolymer (Example 6; claim 7). It teaches a carbon-based material, metal oxide (col. 3, lines 13-16). It teaches graphite (col. 3, lines 13-16). It teaches pyridium cation (col. 3, lines 40-64). It teaches enzyme comprises an

oxidoreductase (redox enzyme) (abstract). It teaches the enzyme comprises glucose oxidase (col. 3, lines 28-29). It teaches the enzyme immobilization material comprises perfluoro sulfonic acid-PTFE copolymer (Example 6).

Thus, the claims are anticipated.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 6, 13-16, 24, 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al., U.S. Pat. Application Pub. 2002/0127440 A1.

Yamamoto et al., teach a bioanode comprising (a) an electron conductor (0031); (b) at least one enzyme (0029) capable of reacting with an oxidized form of an electron mediator and a fuel fluid to produce an oxidized form of the fuel fluid and a reduced form of the electron mediator, the reduced form of the electron mediator being capable of releasing electrons to the electron conductor; and (c) an enzyme immobilization material comprising the electron mediator, the enzyme immobilization material being capable of immobilizing and stabilizing the enzyme, the material being permeable to the fuel fluid (0032). It teaches the electron conductor is a carbon-based material (0031). It teaches the electron conductor is carbon paper (0031). It teaches the

enzyme comprises a dehydrogenase (aldehyde dehydrogenase) (0034-first reaction catalyzed by dehydrogenase).

Thus, the claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 6, 8, 10, 19-22, 46, 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gregg et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,264,105, in view of Saini et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,521,101.

Gregg et al., teach a bioanode as described above.

Saini et al., disclose an electrode comprising a electron mediator comprising potassium ferrocyanide (col. 6, lines 34-37). Saini discloses that the polymeric material is Nafion (perfluoro sulfonic acid-PTFE copolymer) (col. 11, lines 5-60). It teaches a quarternary ammonium cation wherein R1-R4 are butyl or ethyl (claim 17). It teaches an electron conductor comprises modified perfluorosulfonic acid-PTFE (Nafion) copolymer (micelle) (col. 12, lines 15-23; Example 2).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gregg's Nafion with tertbutyl ammonium ion and enzyme-Nation compositions, as taught by Saini, for the benefit of eliminating acid groups and

increasing the robustness of the immobilizing material. Saini disclose that it is known to use the foregoing materials or components in fuel cell applications regardless of the specific type of fuel cells.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wilson, U.S. Pat. No. 5,211,984, teaches a tertbutyl ammonium modified perfluorosulfonic acid (col. 7, lines 10-20) in a fuel cell system.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to above claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela J. Martin whose telephone number is 571-272-1288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



AJM